## EXHIBIT 13

```
1
     D6STTERC
                           Conference
 1
    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
     -----x
 2
 3
     IN RE: TERRORIST ATTACKS ON
 3
     SEPTEMBER 11, 2001
                                        03 MDL 1570 (GBD) (FM)
 4
 4
     ----x
 5
 5
 6
                                          New York, N.Y.
 6
                                          June 28, 2013
 7
                                          11:00 a.m.
 7
 8
     Before:
 8
 9
                          HON. FRANK MAAS,
9
10
                                          Magistrate Judge
10
11
                             APPEARANCES
11
   KREINDLER & KREINDLER
12
12
        Attorneys for Ashton Plaintiffs
13 BY: JAMES KREINDLER
13
14 MOTLEY RICE
14
    Attorneys for Burnett Plaintiffs
15
   BY: ROBERT T. HAEFELE
15
16 ANDERSON KILL & OLICK
16
         Attorneys for O'Neil Plaintiffs
          and Plaintiff's Executive Committee
17
17
    BY: JERRY S. GOLDMAN
18
18
     WIGGINS, CHILDS, QUINN & PANTAZIS
          Attorneys for Havlish and Hoglan Plaintiffs
19
19
     BY: TIMOTHY B. FLEMING
20
20 MELLON & WEBSTER
21
         Attorneys for Havlish and Hoglan Plaintiffs
21
     BY: THOMAS E. MELLON, III
22
          JAMES P. McCOY
22
23 COZEN O'CONNOR
23
         Attorneys for Federal Insurance Plaintiff
24 BY: SEAN P. CARTER
         SCOTT TARBUTTON
24
25
                   SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
```

(212) 805-0300

13 D6STTERC Conference 1 participate in the case. THE COURT: OK. I just wanted, as Mr. Carter put it, 3 a guesstimate, to see whether or not whether anything had 4 changed materially. 5 There's the issue of follow-up discovery and it seems 6 to me that follow-up discovery should be limited to reasonable 7 requests suggested by that discovery which is produced. 8 Frankly, I don't want to get mired in a request by request 9 review, but beyond stating it that way, I'm not sure what else 10 I can do to set guidelines for either side at this point. 11 MR. CARTER: Your Honor, I think that there are two 12 sort of practical dimensions to this that we're concerned 13 about. And the first, as identified in the letter, is this 14 concern about these individualized disputes over whether a 15 request is a legitimate follow up or new. And that's of 16 particular concern to us because of some of the FOIA inquiries 17 that remain outstanding. And what we perceive --18 THE COURT: When you say the FOIA inquiries, you're 19 speaking about FOIA requests that are currently being processed 20 by the government? 21 MR. CARTER: Correct. 22 THE COURT: I thought I convinced you not to make any 2.3 more FOIA requests. 24 MR. CARTER: Your Honor, we don't mind turning the 25 stuff over consistent with your ruling. Some of the FOIA SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300